

REMARKS

Claim 6 is amended merely as to form to remove the duplicative reference to the multiplexer of claim 1. Claims 1 and 4-8 remain pending in the captioned case.

Double Patenting

Claims 1 and 4-8 were rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-7 of U.S. Patent No. 7,243,254. To expedite prosecution, a terminal disclaimer is submitted herewith in a separate paper to obviate this rejection. Accordingly, removal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

CONCLUSION

The present amendment and response is believed to be a complete response to the issues raised in the Office Action mailed October 19, 2007. In view of the remarks herein, Applicants assert that pending claims 1 and 4-8 are in condition for allowance. If the Examiner has any questions, comments, or suggestions, the undersigned attorney earnestly requests a telephone conference.

No fees are required for filing this amendment; however, the Commissioner is authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment, to LSI Corporation deposit account number 12-2252.

Respectfully submitted,

/Kevin L. Daffer/

Kevin L. Daffer
Reg. No. 34,146
Attorney for Applicant(s)

Daffer McDaniel, LLP
P.O. Box 684908
Austin, TX 78768-4908
Date: January 16, 2008